UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,901	06/28/2006	Gerrit Hollemans	NL040020US1	1985
	7590 03/10/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		HUR, ECE		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2172		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com debbie.henn@philips.com marianne.fox@philips.com

		Application No		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/596,901		HOLLEMANS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		ECE HUR		2172				
The MAILING E Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) X Responsive to a	communication(s) filed on <u>21 De</u>	ecember 2010						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FI</b>	· · · <u></u>	action is non-fir	nal					
′ <del>=</del>	, <del>-</del>							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
010000 111 000010	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1935 G.D. 11, 455 G.G. 215.							
Disposition of Claims								
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6-10,14-16 and 18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6-10,14-16 and 18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2009 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's F 3) Information Disclosure Sta	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	] Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da ] Notice of Informal Pa ] Other:	te				

# **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 21, 2010 has been entered. Claims 1, 6-10, 14-16, 18 are pending.

#### **Status of Claims**

Claims 1, 6-10, 14-16, 18 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 14, 18 are independent Claims. Claims 1, 6-10, 14-16, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

### **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments filed December 21, 2010 have been fully considered. See rejection for details. Applicant argued:

1) Applicant's remarks with respect to amended Claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,901 Page 3

Art Unit: 2172

2) Applicant refers in the remarks section that there are Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 14-16 and 18 are pending, however Claims 2-5 are cancelled in the submitted Claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/596,901 Page 4

Art Unit: 2172

Claims 1, 6-10, 14-16, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Shalit et al., US 5,714,971 in view of Freeze, Internet Explorer 5, 1999 in further view of McCollum, US 20030112467.

Regarding Claim 1, Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of a system having a graphical user interface for navigating through content, the system comprising a display having: a navigation history panel wherein navigation history data is displayed, and at least a first panel displaying a first menu of a content structure, the first menu comprising one or more selectable items, two static panel, wherein the navigation history data displayed in the history panel is updated with reference to the selection of items in the first static panel in FIG. 2D, FIG. 8, wherein the ability of navigation through content structure is illustrated. (Shalit, FIG. 2D(also navigation history, ARM Support selected in the first panel), Column 1, lines 49-51, Abstract, Column 9, lines 19-20, FIG. 8, Navigation history).

Shalit does not specifically teach the claimed aspect of the *navigation history* data provides a reference for at least one of a number of selections in accordance with a plurality of criteria, however Freeze discloses the claimed aspect in FIG. 3.5, wherein a navigation history panel is illustrated with plurality of criteria to display the selected items. (Freeze, Page 30).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to display navigation history by plurality of criteria Shalit's navigation history panel, because this would allow the user to filter certain items that the user needs.

Art Unit: 2172

Shalit does not specifically disclose the claimed aspect of *there exists at least one route for navigating through said content to arrive at said at least the first panel*, however Freeze discloses the claimed aspect in FIG. 3.5, wherein there are option such as Last Week, Monday, Tuesday, Today and user navigates from Today to content listing and the user selects a specific content from the listing. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this feature to Shalit's first panel because this would allow the user to view the information within same panel depending on user's preferences.

Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of first and second static panel in FIG. 2 D, wherein two static panels are illustrated. Shalit and Freeze do not specifically disclose the claimed aspect of at least a second static panel/section/area for displaying a first sub-menu of a currently highlighted item of the first menu, wherein the first menu in the first section is replaced with the first sub-menu of the second static panel/section/area upon selection of said currently highlighted item of the first menu displayed in the first section, wherein the first sub-menu in the second static panel/section/area is replaced with a sub-sub- menu of a currently highlighted item of the first sub-menu upon selection of said currently highlighted item of the first sub-menu, however McCollum discloses the claimed aspect in FIGs. 4C, 4D, 4E, wherein once said selection element identifies a sub-element from said first plurality of sub-elements, said first plurality of sub-elements shifts to an area previously occupied by said first plurality of selectable menu elements and a second plurality of sub-elements associated with an identified one of said first plurality of sub-elements shifts to an area previously occupied by said first

Art Unit: 2172

plurality of sub-elements. (McCollum, FIGs. 4C, 4D, 4E, Paragraphs 0052, 0053, Claim 21). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this feature to Shalits's two panel and Freeze's navigation because this would allow to view menus more efficiently on a small screen device.

Regarding Claim 6, Shalit, Freeze, McCollum teach all of any preceding Claims.

See the rejection of preceding Claims for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of the navigation history data comprises a reference to previously selected menu(s) in FIG.

9E, wherein ARM Support and Level-1 is illustrated.

Regarding Claim 7, Shalit, Freeze, McCollum teach all of any preceding Claims. See the rejection of preceding Claims for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of navigation history data is displayed as pictograms in FIG. 9E, wherein icons are illustrated next to the items in the first panel.

Regarding Claim 8, Shalit, Freeze, McCollum teach all of any preceding Claims. See the rejection of preceding Claims for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of one or more layered panels show the navigation history in FIG. 9E, wherein navigation history is illustrated.

Regarding Claims 9 and 10, Shalit, Freeze, McCollum teach all of any preceding Claims. See the rejection of preceding Claims for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of the content structure includes a main menu starting point, the navigation history data includes navigation history data starting from the main menu starting point in FIG. 9E, wherein Leibniz is the main menu.

Regarding Claim 14, Claim 14 and Claims 1-4 are similar in scope and rejected under similar rationale. See rejection details for Claims 1-4.

Regarding Claim 15, Shalit, Freeze, McCollum teach all of Claim 14. See the rejection of Claim 14 for details. The rejection for Claims 3 and 4 apply to Claim 15. See rejection details for Claims 3 and 4.

Regarding Claim 16, Shalit, Freeze, McCollum teach all of Claim 15. See the rejection of Claim 15 for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of the selection of an active element causes the navigation to revert to the selected data in the navigation history data in FIG. 9E, wherein Level-1 is selected.

Regarding Claim 18, Claim 18 and Claims 1 and 14 are similar in scope and rejected under similar rationale. See rejection details for Claims 1 and 14.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1) Holtzblatt et al., US 20010038395, "Method and System for Accessing Information, history panel". Paragraph 0034, navigation panel; the history panel is updated.
- 2) Bergsten et al., US 20030001907, "Method and Apparatus For Scrollable Cross-Point Navigation In A User Interface".
- 3) Minard, US 6,247,020, Navigation pane include selecting of a current node, navigation pane is updated. Column 9, lines 4-12.
- 4) Wassom, US 7,617,458, "Managing Navigation and History Information", Column 2, lines 30-43.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ECE HUR whose telephone number is (571) 270-1972. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BORIS PESIN can be reached on 571-272-4070. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

Application/Control Number: 10/596,901

Art Unit: 2172

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Page 9

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from

a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

February 28, 2011

Ece Hur

E.H. /e.h.

/Boris Pesin/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2172